The nucleation density \( N_c(T) \) of Ag islands on the 2-monolayer-thick silver intermediate layer on W(110) is measured by UHV scanning electron microscopy and compared with kinetic nucleation equations. This yields a well-determined value of \( E_d + 2E_b = 0.65 \pm 0.03 \) eV, with pair-binding energy \( E_b = 0.25 \pm 0.05 \) eV, diffusion energy \( E_d = 0.15 \pm 0.10 \) eV, and adsorption energy \( E_a = 2.2 \pm 0.1 \) eV. Values for Ag/Ag(111) are calculated in effective-medium theory, giving \( E_a = 2.23 \), \( E_b = 0.28 \), \( E_d = 0.12 \), and \( E_d + 2E_b = 0.68 \) eV. Observations on vicinal and impure surfaces are reported, and implications for defect binding energies discussed.
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The understanding of epitaxial crystal growth in atomistic terms has been a long-standing goal for the physics of materials. In recent years, much experimental progress has been made via the combined use of surface science and (electron) microscope techniques. In metal/metal systems, the Stranski-Krastanov (or layer-plus-island) growth mode has been found to be the norm rather than the exception. For Ag/W(110) (Refs. 2–4) an intermediate layer 2 monolayers (ML) thick is formed first, and then Ag islands grow in the (111) orientation. The crystallography of the first two layers is very similar to Ag(111), but is slightly distorted to match the substrate better.

The nucleation and growth of islands on top of this intermediate layer has been modeled using kinetic rate equations. The advantage over Monte Carlo methods is that we can cope with the large range of length and time scales involved in these diffusion and clustering processes. Applied to measurements of the nucleation density \( N_c \) as a function of deposition rate \( R \) and substrate temperature \( T \), our approach has yielded a three-parameter fit in terms of the surface diffusion energy \( E_d \), the pair-binding energy \( E_b \), and, at the highest temperatures, the adsorption energy \( E_a \). These parameters are just those that can be most readily calculated from a priori theory. The development of theories of metals based on the local-density approximation means that calculations involving large numbers of atoms can be attempted, including relaxation of atomic positions. In particular, the “effective-medium approach” has shown itself capable of estimating vacancy and surface energies and related quantities with reasonable precision. Several examples are given in Refs. 6 and 7.

In this Letter we present an extensive new data set, \( N_c(T) \), for Ag/W(110), and deduce the diffusion, binding, and adsorption energies by comparison with rate equations. These parameters are then calculated by the effective-medium theory, and are shown to be in remarkable agreement. In addition, we present data on vicinal surfaces, and surfaces contaminated with adsorbed CO. By comparing \( N_c(T) \) on such surfaces with the rate-equation predictions, we are able to deduce an upper limit to the binding energy to these special sites, and to comment on the nature of the bonding involved.

The experiments were conducted in the UHV scanning electron microscope at the University of Sussex, using techniques described previously. Thin islands were observed with high contrast using biased secondary electron imaging. In addition to measurements of nucleation density, we also deposited Ag through a mask of holes, in order to observe surface diffusion directly. Two examples of 5-monolayer (ML) deposits at 300 and 400°C on a tungsten sample whose orientation is very close to (110) are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The nucleation density \( N_c \) in (a) is \( 1.5 \times 10^7 \) cm\(^{-2} \), and the islands are \( \sim 1 \) μm across. The density in Fig. 1(b) is lower by a factor of around 5. Given that we have complete condensation at both temperatures, and that the intermediate layer is 2 ML thick, the islands are about 30 ML, or 10 nm, thick. It is clear that they grow much more readily laterally than vertically, a condition which is associated with defect-free islands. It is also known that the islands have their (111) planes accurately parallel to the substrate (110) plane, which we have checked in the present work by reflection high-energy electron diffraction.

For comparison, some islands grown on surfaces vicinal to (110) are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The substrate misorientation can be gauged from the angle between the top face of the islands, which is (111), and the intersection of the front face with the substrate. In Fig. 1(c), this angle is up to 5°; the corresponding step spacing on the substrate is of the order of 4 nm if the steps are single height and more if they are multiple. In some cases where multiple steps are clearly seen, such as Fig. 1(d), the islands have a much larger height-to-width ratio, and they span the steps. This is almost certainly due to the growth on dislocation spirals generated at the steps. However, the intriguing point is that the nucleation density is not greatly enhanced in these situations, and that the adatoms move rather easily over the steps. This is in contrast to the behavior of adatoms on
the bare substrate, which we have shown to be strongly influenced by the presence of steps, and by adsorbed CO impurities at the 0.1-ML level. Similar strong effects have been seen in related systems by scanning Auger microscopy of Pd/W(110) (Ref. 12) and by low-energy and photoemission electron microscopy of Cu/Mo(110).\textsuperscript{13}

These observations tell us a lot about the bonding strengths between Ag and W(110) and between Ag and Ag(111). The nucleation-density data can be analyzed quantitatively to yield various energies indicative of these bonding strengths. In Fig. 2 we present the new $N_n(T)$ data, where we distinguish carefully between data on flat, clean surfaces and data on stepped or slightly contaminated surfaces. The data span the range $200 < T < 500^\circ$C. On the flat surfaces, the data agree with the lowest nucleation densities obtained previously,\textsuperscript{2} and in general are in very good agreement with those data. On the stepped or contaminated surfaces, the $N_n$ values are up to a factor of 2 higher.

Figure 2 also shows the fit with the rate-equation formulation for the two data sets. The best fit to the flat, clean substrate requires the energy combination $E_d + 2E_b = 0.65 \pm 0.03$ eV, where the uncertainty corresponds to a factor-of-2 variation in the preexponential frequency factors.\textsuperscript{5} This determination stems from the absolute value of $N_n(T)$, whereas the slope of the $\log(N_n) - 1/T$ plot determines $E_d$ and $E_b$ separately. The critical nucleus size is in the range 6–34 atoms over the $T$ range shown. By repeating the prediction with various $E_d$ and $E_b$ and calculating weighted rms deviations from the new data, we produced the contour plot of Fig. 3 from which we can estimate $E_b = 0.23 \pm 0.05$ eV and $E_d = 0.18 \pm 0.10$ eV. Given that similar plots for the previous data produced slightly higher $E_b$ and lower $E_d$ values, and the unreality of quoting errors better than 0.05 eV, our “best” estimate is $E_b = 0.25 \pm 0.05$ and $E_d = 0.15 \pm 0.10$ eV, where the errors are somewhat con-

![FIG. 2. Nucleation density of silver islands on W(110) as a function of $T^{-1}$. Full line: $E_b = 2.1$, $E_d = 0.25$, $E_d = 0.135$ eV, compared to data on the flattest, cleanest samples; dashed line: $E_d = 0.185$ eV, other parameters unchanged, compared to data on stepped and/or slightly contaminated samples. Deposition rate $R = 0.3$ ML/min.]

FIG. 1. Flat silver islands in (111) orientation on W(110); 5-ML deposit at $R = 0.3$ ML/min at (a) $T = 300^\circ$C and (b) $400^\circ$C. (c) Islands on a vicinal surface in the same experiment as in (b). (d) Thicker islands spanning multiple steps on faceted vicinal surface (from Ref. 15). See text for discussion.
FIG. 3. Goodness-of-fit plot based on new clean-substrate
data presented here. The contours represent the root-mean-
square deviation of the prediction from the experimental points
on a log10 scale; the minimum (closed) contour is 0.15, increasing
in units of 0.1. See text for discussion.

The adsorption energy only influences the fits
at the highest temperatures; we can say that $E_a$ is
greater than 2.1 eV, as shown in Fig. 2, with a preferred
value around 2.2 eV. If the binding energy in small Ag
clusters is similar to that in bulk Ag, we would have
$E_a + 3E_b = L$, where $L = 2.95$ eV is the sublimation en-
ergy. On this basis $E_a = 2.95 \pm 0.01 - 3(0.25 \pm 0.05)$
$= 2.20 \pm 0.18$ eV. These estimates are clearly close to
each other.

The above quantities have been calculated within the
effective-medium theory, which is an approximate
method for calculating total energies. The total-energy
expression is derived from the density-functional theory
and includes explicitly the many-body nature of the
interatomic interactions in a metallic system. It has been
shown to give good results for surface energies, for relax-
ations of interlayer distances at surfaces, and for surface
reconstructions. In the present application the param-
eters entering the theory have been taken from the
cohesive and elastic properties of bulk Ag as specified in
Refs. 7 and 14.

The calculations have been performed for Ag on a
semi-infinite Ag(111) surface rather than 2 ML of
Ag(111) on W(110). Since the lattice constants are
very similar, this should give rise to only small errors.
For each configuration the total energy was minimized
with respect to the coordinates of the Ag atoms. A large
(4×4) surface unit cell with one, two, or three adatoms
was used in order to minimize interactions between ada-
toms in adjacent cells. The resulting interaction energies
are shown in Table I. Considering the approximate na-
ture of the theory the agreement with the experimentally
deduced numbers is remarkable.

Both the experimental and the calculated numbers
show a pronounced nonlinearity in the binding energy of
the Ag adatoms with coordination number, or number of
nearest-neighbor bonds. A single adatom on the surface
thus has only three "bonds" and a total binding energy of
2.23 eV (0.74 eV per bond) whereas the extra bond
between two adatoms on the surface only holds 0.29 eV.
This is a direct consequence of the many-body nature of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>$E_a$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_d$ (eV)</th>
<th>Dimer $E_b(2)$ (eV)</th>
<th>Trimer $E_b(3)$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment 2.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.15±0.10</td>
<td>0.25±0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the bonding. Relaxations of the interatomic distances
only account for a small fraction (a few times 0.01 eV)
of the binding energies. The nonlinearity of the interac-
tion energy with coordination number is, however, not
nearly as pronounced when more adatoms are added.
The "bond energy" for a trimer of adatoms is thus close
to that of the dimer. The average value, 0.28, is quoted
for $E_b$ as a result.

As a separate exercise, the observations on stepped
and contaminated surfaces enable us to make some semi-
quantitative deductions, and to make contact with other
work on the behavior of Ag in the first monolayer on
W(110). The dashed line in Fig. 2 corresponds to a diffu-
sion energy just 0.05 eV higher than the solid line
appropriate to the clean surface. At $T=600$ K this cor-
responds to a diffusion coefficient that is lower by a fac-
tor of 2.6 than that for the clean surface, assuming that
binding energies have not been affected. If the adatoms
diffuse over the defective surface, and are bound to spe-
cial sites by an extra binding energy $E_b$, and the concen-
tration of such sites is $N_s$, then we would expect that $D$
is reduced by a factor $1 + (N_s/N_0) \exp(2E_b/kT)$, where $N_0$
is the monolayer density. Thus if $N_s/N_0$ is around 0.1,
corresponding to an impurity concentration of this order
or a vicinal angle of around 5°, the factor of 2.6 at 600
K requires $E_b$ to be not more than 2.8$kT$, or 0.15 eV. If
we have a faceted surface such as Fig. 1(d), with the
same adsorption energy on the facets as on (111) and the
special site only at the corners, so that $N_s/N_0$ is reduced
to 0.01 or 0.001, this energy might rise as high as 0.26 or
0.38 eV. But this is unlikely, and the results almost cer-
tainly indicate that the special-site binding energy is less
than around 0.2 eV.

This value is remarkably low, and suggests that the
more obvious types of surface defects either grow out or
become passivated in some way during growth. For ex-
ample, a step 1 ML high should bind an adatom by $2E_b$,
or a kink site by $3E_b$, giving $E_b$ in the range 0.5-0.75 eV.
Thus complete (111) layers will have a strong tendency
to grow laterally, eliminating high-index facets. A step
on the substrate surface has a height of $a_0/\sqrt{2} = 2.23$ Å,
this is less than the 1-ML Ag height, 2.36 Å, but not by
much. Maybe such a step will induce an inclined stack-
ing fault or other defects to form, which have a small
binding energy for adatoms; it certainly seems that pas-
servation of this type must be invoked if the low trapping energies on top of the 2-ML Ag layer are to be rationalized. Similar considerations apply to the observation that the surface can be contaminated with up to 0.1 ML of adsorbed CO without a huge effect on the nucleation density. In this case, the CO molecule has a similar radius to, and probably a reduced interaction with, the other Ag atoms, so that the migrating Ag adatoms may even tend to avoid the sites on the surface which are contaminated, once the molecules have been buried by a layer of deposited silver.

The energies of Ag atoms in the first monolayer on W(110) have been obtained from the analysis of thermal-desorption and work-function data. The adsorption energy at low coverage is around 2.8 eV, rising to 3.55 eV close to monolayer coverage. The difference corresponds to three Ag bonds of strength around 0.25 eV each, essentially the same as the value on the Ag intermediate layer. The difference in adsorption energies on the substrate and the 2-ML Ag layer (2.8 − 2.2 eV), or in sublimation energies (3.55 − 2.95 eV), both around 0.6 eV, indicate the greater strength of Ag-W bonding. This value makes it qualitatively understandable that Ag diffusion on the bare W surface is considerably impeded by surface steps or by strongly chemisorbed molecules present in sub-0.1-ML quantities. Quantitative calculations of Ag on tungsten are, however, beyond the scope of the effective-medium theory at present.

The success of our experiment-theory comparison for elementary energies $E_a$, $E_d$, and $E_b$ on the clean step-free Ag(111) surface suggests that similar calculations can usefully be attempted for small clusters up to the critical cluster size of a few tens of atoms, and for various step and defect configurations at surfaces which may be important in promoting nucleation or other surface reactions. The effective-medium approach should be especially effective, as demonstrated here, when we are comparing alternative configurations of the same number of atoms; then good accuracy can be expected with a highly simplified calculation which can be applied to the early stages of epitaxial growth in a variety of circumstances.
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